FILE	#.	
1 110		

Appraisal Work Product Review Report for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

SCOPE OF WORK For Work Product Review

USPAP Edition 2024

This real property appraisal review is prepared for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board (Board). The Board and appraiser(s) under review are considered the intended users; with the Board being considered the primary intended user. The intended use of this review by the Board is for its information in the consideration of an application for licensure (or licensure upgrade). Additionally, this report could be forwarded to the Standards and Disciplinary Procedures Committee of the Board for further action if warranted.

The scope of work includes, but is not limited to, a desk review of the appraisal report and any work file documents submitted. If the scope of work entails something greater than a desk review, more details as to the scope of work is listed below. The documents submitted were reviewed for conformity to the edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in effect as of the date of the appraisal and for the application of currently accepted appraisal methods and techniques. The reviewer is **not required** to develop an independent opinion of value. The data contained in the report under review is subject to verification, to the extent that such verification is pertinent to the completion of the review assignment. Unless noted, the subject and comparable sales were not physically inspected. All data pertaining to the subject and comparable sales is considered accurate through the use of an extraordinary assumption, unless otherwise noted. The review is conducted in the context of determining if the appraisal and report exhibit compliance with USPAP and accepted appraisal practice.

Identified in the review report are the date of valuation, the date of the report, identification of the real property involved, and the signing appraiser(s). The identity of the appraiser(s) is included in the review report as well as the identification (case number), if any, assigned by the Board. The report is prepared in check list and summary format, with comments included in support of the peer reviewer appraiser's analysis and conclusions. Any additional documentation that the review appraiser deems necessary to understand the review (i.e.: maps, pictures, sketches, etc), may be included as addenda, and will be referenced within the comment sections of the report. The checklist indicates the specific sections and Standards Rules of USPAP that the appraiser under review has or has not complied with in developing and reporting the appraisal assignment. A "No" response to a requirement of a Standard Rule, is indicative of a violation of USPAP, and requires comment.

Additional Scope of Work		

Appraisal Review Report for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

Standard Review Form – Valuation Appraisals (Standards 1 & 2)

Appraiser(s)		Certific	cation #(s)	
Subject Property Address (Street, City, County,	, State, Zip)	ı	
Effective Date of Report Date Report S	Signed		Interes	st Appraised
Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
General .				
Reporting option used (Appraisal report or Restricted Appraisal report), is prominently stated.				SR 2-2
dentity of Client is stated.				SR 2-2 (a-ii), (b-ii)
ntended use of the appraisal is stated.				SR 2-2 (a-iii), (b-v)
Restricted Appraisal Report – intended users must e identified by name.				SR 2-2 (b-ii)
statement of property interest appraised.				SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-vii)
Type and definition of value and value source are eported.				SR 2-2 (a-vi), (b-viii)
Report Date and effective date of appraisal are stated (prospective, current or retrospective).				SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-ix)
Scope of Work is sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal.				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)
Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)
Any extraordinary assumption in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)
Analysis of agreements of sale, options, or istings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized.				SR 1-5, SR 2-2 (a-x3), (b-xii3)
Signed Certification.				SR 2-2 (a-xiv), (b-xvi) SR 2-3
Certification includes name(s) of person(s) providing significant real property appraisal assistance.				SR 2-3
Description of significant real property appraisal assistance is included in the report.				SR 2-3, SR 2-2 (a-ix), (b-xi)
Certificate number and title are correctly reported.				59 O.S. § 858-719(B)
dentity of any intended users are stated Appraisal Report).				SR2-2 (a-ii)
Restricted Appraisal Report clearly & conspicuously states a restriction that limits use of the report to the client and named intended user(s)				SR2-2 (b-iii)
destricted Appraisal Report clearly and conspicuously warns that the report may not contain supporting rationale for all of the opinions and conclusions set forth in the report.				SR 2-2 (b-iv)

Comments on General Requirements (continue	ed)			
Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Market Area	162	NO	NA	USPAP Reference
Factors that affect marketability are adequately	I	l		SR 1-2 (e-i),
and reasonably described.				SR 1-3 (a-iii, v),
				SR 2-1 (b),
Market area is adequately and reasonably defined.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
				SR-1-2 (h)
Market area trends are adequately and				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
reasonably discussed and analyzed.				SR 1-2 (e)(h)
Comments on Neighborhood Requirements USPAP does not say you have to define the market area boundaries - this is	s a Fannie Mae/H	UD/VA requirem	ent on the 104 F	orm. USPAP says you have to adequately
identify the subject's location and economic attributes.				
Site / Highest & Best Use (when value	opinion c	levelope	d is Marl	ī
Has the site been adequately identified/defined.				SR 1-2 (e), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi)
Has the zoning been adequately and accurately				SR 1-2 (e-iv)
reported?				, ,
Have any easements, restrictions or other items				SR 1-2 (e-iv),
of a similar nature been adequately reported and considered? (Land use Regulations)				SR 1-3 (a), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-x)
Has an opinion to the highest and best use been				SR 1-3 (b),
provided?				SR 2-2 (a-xii)
Has current use been stated?				SR 2-2 (a-xi), (b-ix), (b-xiii)
Comments on Site / Highest & Best Use				
(Include a short summary of how the Highest and Best Use was identified.)				

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Description of Improvements				
Have relevant characteristics of improvements and any effect they have on value been adequately described?				SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi)
Have any relevant conditions or depreciation				SR 1-4 (b-iii),
(physical, functional or external) factors that affect the improvements been reported and analyzed?				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)
Has any personal property, trade fixtures or intangible items that are not real property, but included in the appraisal been adequately				SR 1-2 (e-iii), SR 2-1 (a,b)
described and considered in the valuation process. Comments on Improvements			<u> </u>	
Coot Approach				
Cost Approach Is the site value market oriented?				SR 1-4 (b-i)
is the site value market offented:				SR 2-2 (a-x1), (b-xii1)
Are cost estimates market oriented and supported?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 1-4 (b-ii)
Has the appraiser identified and correctly analyzed depreciation items (physical, functional, external)?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 1-4 (b-iii) 2-2 (a-x1)
Are calculations correct?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 1-4 (b)
Exclusion of the Cost Approach is supported.				SR 1-2 (h), SR 2-2 (a-x2), (b-xii2)
Comments on Cost Approach				

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Sales Comparison Approach				
Has appraiser analyzed comparable sales data	I			SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
and used appropriate appraisal methods and				SR 1-4 (a),
techniques that support the conclusions?				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
reported comparable sales?				SR 1-4 (a),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Is adequate reasoning provided for adjustments,				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
analysis, opinions and conclusions?				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
methods and techniques?				SR 1-4 (b),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x1), (b-x), (b-xii1)
Exclusion of the Sales Comparison Approach is				SR 1-2 (h),
supported.				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2),
				(b-x), (b-xii2)
Comments on Sales Comparison Approach	•	•	•	
Does the appraiser adequately explain the adjustments rather than a "blank	et" statement as t	o the adjustment	s?	
Income Approach				
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
reported comparable rental data?				SR 1-4 (c),
·				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for income, expenses,				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
vacancy been provided?				SR 1-4 (c-ii),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for capitalization rate or				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
GRM been provided?				SR 1-4 (c-iii),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Have projections of future rent and expenses				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
been based on reasonably clear and appropriate				SR 1-4 (c-iv),
market evidence?				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),
methods and techniques?				SR 1-4 (c),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-viii), (b-x)
Exclusion of the Income Approach is supported.				SR 1-2 (h),
				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2), (b-x), (b-xii2)
0				(D-XIIZ)
Comments on Income Approach				
The statement "The income approach is not used due to a lack of rental pro in the scope of the work that the development of the approach was not nece				

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Final Reconciliation				
Quality and quantity of data available and analyzed within the approaches used has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Applicability and suitability of the approaches used to arrive at the value conclusions has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the value been appropriately identified (date, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions)?				SR 1-2 (f,g) SR 2-2 (a-vii), (a-xiii), (b-ix), (b-xv)
Comments on Final Reconciliation				
General Revisited	_			
				Chandard O
Are the appraisal results conveyed in an appropriate manner (not misleading)?				Standard 2 Ethics Rule
Does the appraiser(s) understand the appraisal process?				Competency Rule
Does the appraisal report contain sufficient information to enable the client(s) and intended user(s) who receive or rely on the report to understand it properly?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 2-2 (a,b,c) Scope to Work Rule Competency Rule
Was the salient and factual data reported and analyzed in a consistent manner throughout the assignment?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Final Comments on Appraisal				

SCORE EACH CRITERION (1-5)	RATING
Appraisal Practices and Procedures	
Logic and Reasoning	
Comparables	
Adjustments	
TOTAL REPORT SCORE	

Review Appraiser Certification

The attached review contains the comments and conclusions of the reviewer. I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- · The facts and data reported by the reviewer and used in the review process are true and correct.
- The analyses, opinions and conclusions in the review report are limited only by the assumptions and limiting
 conditions stated in this review report, and are my personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and
 conclusions.
- I have no (or the specified) present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this review and I have no (or the specified) personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of the work under review or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from the analysis, opinions or conclusions, or use of this review report.
- My analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed and the review report prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have not made a personal inspection of the subject property of the work under review. (If more that one person signs this certification, the certification must clearly specify which individuals did and which individuals did not make a personal inspection, if any of the subject property of the work under review.)
- No one provided significant appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assistance to the person signing this certification. (If there are exceptions, the name of each individual(s) providing appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assistance must be stated).
- I have performed no (or the specified) services, as an appraisor or in any other capacity, regarding the
 property that is the subject of the work under review within the three-year period immediately preceding
 acceptance of this assignment

acceptan	ce of this assignment
I have performed no (or	ification as required by professional organizations or designations: the specified) services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of the work under review within the diately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Signature:	Date:
Peer Reviewer:	License: