BEFORE THE REAL ESTATE APPRAISER BOARD STATE OF OKLAHOMA

In the Matter of DEREK C. LAMKIN,)	
)	Complaint #21-002
Respondent.)	

CONSENT ORDER

COMES NOW the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board ("OREAB"), by and through the Prosecuting Attorney, Stephen McCaleb, and Derek C. Lamkin ("Respondent"), by and through his attorney of record, Rachel Lawrence Mor, and enter into this Consent Order, pursuant to Oklahoma Statutes Title 59 §858-700, et seq., and Oklahoma Administrative Code 600:10-1-1, et seq. All sections of this Order are incorporated together.

AGREED FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. In August of 2019, Respondent was hired to complete an appraisal (the "appraisal") for a property located at 11612 South Toledo Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74137 (the "subject"). Respondent completed the first appraisal completed the first appraisal with an effective date of August 2, 2019 and completed with signature August 14, 2019. The assignment type was for a refinance transaction. The appraisal was performed in accordance with the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- 2. The Respondent provided two reports. The lender requested revisions to the initial report such as site value, previous purchase and transfer of the subjected needed to be changed.
- 3. Respondent corrected the report and added summary of sales comparisons, condition and additional features comments, comments for sales 4 and 5 and site value comments.
- 4. Respondent completed the requested revisions and provided the final report to the lender on September 19, 2019.

General

- 5. Respondent's initial report did not correctly identify the year built of the subject because the subject was built in 1920 and was rebuilt in 2018.
- 6. Respondent did not report the previous purchase/transfer of the subject in the initial report.
 - 7. Overall, the initial report lacked adequate explanation throughout the report.

Cost Approach

8. In the cost approach, Respondent did not provide land sales to allow the lender/client to replicate his calculations.

Sales Comparison Approach

- 9. Comparables 1 and 2 are not truly comparable; the adjustments, especially for the site, are excessive.
 - 10. There was no support for Respondent's opinion of value.
 - 11. Respondent failed to properly adjust the comparables.
- 12. These complaints are based on a home built in 1920 but the home was almost entirely rebuilt in 2018. The adjustments for land/site sales are too large.

AGREED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. That Respondent has violated 59 O.S. § 858-723(C)(6) through 59 O.S. §858-726, in that Respondent violated:
 - A. Standard 1, Standards Rules 1-1, 1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 1-5, and 1-6; and Standard 2, Standards Rules 2-1, and 2-2 of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice. These include the sub-sections of the referenced rules.
- 2. That Respondent has violated 59 O.S. § 858-723(C)(8): "Negligence or incompetence in developing an appraisal, in preparing an appraisal report, or in communicating an appraisal."
- 3. That Respondent has violated 59 O.S. § 858-723(C)(6): "Violation of any of the standards for the development or communication of real estate appraisals as provided in the Oklahoma Certified Real Estate Appraisers Act."

CONSENT AGREEMENT

The Respondent, by affixing his signature hereto, acknowledges:

- 1. That Respondent has been advised to seek the advice of counsel prior to signing this document.
 - 2. That Respondent possesses the following rights among others:
 - A. The right to a formal fact finding hearing before a disciplinary panel of the Board;
 - B. The right to a reasonable notice of said hearing;
 - C. The right to be represented by counsel;
 - D. The right to compel the testimony of witnesses:

- E. The right to cross-examine witnesses against him; and
- F. The right to obtain judicial review of the final decision of the Board.
- 3. The Respondent stipulates to the facts as set forth above and specifically waives both his right to contest these findings in any subsequent proceedings before the Board and his right to appeal this matter to the District Court.
- 4. The Respondent agrees and consents that this Consent Order shall not be used by him for purposes of defending any other action initiated by the Board, regardless of the date of the appraisal.
 - 5. All other original allegations in this matter are dismissed.
- 6. Respondent acknowledges this will be placed on the Board's agenda for its next monthly meeting, after receipt of the executed Order from Respondent, and notice for the Order's placement on that Agenda is accepted.¹
 - 7. All parties to this Consent Order have been represented by counsel.
- 8. This Consent Order may be executed in one or more counterparts, but all of such counterparts, taken together, shall constitute only one Consent Order. When delivered to the other party, facsimile and visual digital reproductions of original signatures shall be as effective as if they were the originals.
- 9. This Consent Order shall be governed by the internal laws of the State of Oklahoma without regard to the conflict of law principles.
- all provisions of this Consent Order are contractual and not a mere recital. The Parties acknowledge that no presentation or promise not expressly set forth in this Consent Order has been made by any of the Parties hereto or any of their agents, employees, representatives, or attorneys. No modification of, or amendment to, this Consent Order shall be valid unless it is in writing and signed by the Parties. In the event any portion of this Consent Order shall be declared illegal or unenforceable as a matter of law, the remainder of the Consent Order shall remain in full force and effect.
- 11. This Consent Order is intended by the Parties to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final, and exclusive embodiment of their agreement. It supersedes any and all prior or contemporaneous agreements, understanding, discussions, negotiations, and

¹ Currently, the next Board meeting is scheduled for May 6, 2022, at 9:30 a.m.

commitments (written or oral). This Consent Order may not be altered, amended, modified, supplemented, or otherwise changed, except by a writing executed by an authorized representative of each of the Parties.

- 12. The undersigned Respondent agrees that presentation of this Consent Order to the OREAB without the undersigned Respondent being present shall not constitute an improper *ex parte* communication between the OREAB and its counsel.
- 13. The Parties represent and warrant to one another that each Party has authority to enter into this binding Consent Order. The OREAB represents and warrants that the undersigned have full authority to execute this Consent Order on behalf of the OREAB and bind the OREAB to the terms set forth herein.
- 14. The Parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Consent Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
 - 15. The Parties acknowledge that they understand the provisions of this Consent Order.

CONSENT ORDER TO BE ACCEPTED OR REJECTED BY THE BOARD

The Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board will not submit this Consent Order for the Board's consideration until its agreement and execution by the Respondent(s). It is hereby agreed between the Parties that this Consent Order shall be presented to the Board, with recommendation for approval of the Board, at the next scheduled meeting of the Board. The Respondent understands that the Board is free to accept or reject this Consent Order and, if rejected by the Board, it shall be regarded as null and void. Admissions by Respondent in the rejected Consent Order will not be regarded as evidence against him at the subsequent disciplinary hearing. Respondent will be free to defend himself and no inferences will be made from his willingness to have entered into this agreement. It is agreed that neither the presentation of the Consent Order nor the Board's consideration of the Consent Order will be deemed to have unfairly or illegally prejudiced the Board or its individual members and, therefore, will not be grounds for precluding the Board or any individual Board member from further participation in proceedings related to the matters set forth in the Consent Order.

ORDER

WHEREFORE, on the basis of the foregoing Agreed Findings of Fact and Agreed Conclusions of Law, it is ordered that:

1. Respondent shall take the following corrective education:

A.	614 F	Residential Report Writing and Case Studies	15 Hours
B.	612	Residential Site Valuation & Cost Approach	15 Hours
C.	600	National USPAP Course (no CE credit)	15 Hours

- 2. Respondent agrees that he will successfully complete, pass the test, and <u>provide</u> <u>proof of completion and passing of the tests to the Board's office</u> for the courses completed, within ninety (90) days from the date the Board approves this Order. Respondent shall receive credit for the 612 course. Failure to complete and pass the courses in a timely matter will result in suspension until the courses are passed and completed with <u>proof of completion and passing of the tests to the Board's office</u>.
- 3. Respondent shall pay an administrative fine in the amount of one-thousand dollars (\$1,000), to be paid within thirty (30) days of notification of Respondent of the Board's Order imposing the administrative fine, pursuant to 59 O.S. §858-723.
- 4. Respondent shall be required for a period of NINETY (90) DAYS beginning immediately upon the date he timely completes the three (3) courses listed in paragraph 1 of this section to provide an appraisal log on REA Form 3 to the administrative office of the Board no later than the fifth (5th) day of each month detailing all his appraisal activity during the preceding month. The Board may select and require samples of work product from these appraisal logs be submitted for review.
- 5. Failure to comply with the preceding paragraphs in a timely manner may result in suspension of Respondent's license. For good cause, an extension may be granted by the Board. An application for an Extension of Time should be filed at least five (5) business days in advance of the Board meeting to be placed on a Board meeting agenda in advance of the deadline to comply with this Consent Order.

DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to the Oklahoma Open records Act, 51 O.S. §24-A.1 through §24-A.21, the signed original of this Consent Order shall remain in the custody of the Board as a public record and shall be made available for public inspection and copying upon request.

DEREK C. LAMKIN

5-5-2022

DATE

RACHEL LAWRENCE MOR, OBA NO. 11400

Counsel for Respondent

5 5 2023

DATE

CERTIFICATE OF BOARD'S PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

I believe this Consent Order to be in the best interests of the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board, the State of Oklahoma, and the Respondent, with regard to the violations alleged in the formal Complaint.

STEPHEN L. MCCALEB, OBA NO. 15649

Board Prosecutor 400 NE 50th St.

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

DATE

IT IS SO ORDERED on this day of

f

, 2022,

JENELLE LEPOINT, Board Secretary Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board



OKLAHOMA REAL ESTATE APPRAISER BOARD:

By:

BRYAN NEAL, OBA NO. 65 Assistant Attorney General Attorney for the Board 313 NE 21st St

Oklahoma City, OK 73105

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I, Kelly Reynolds, hereby certify that on the day of value, 2022, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Consent Order was sent via first-class U.S. Mail, certified and return-receipt requested, with proper postage prepaid thereon, to the following:

9214 8902 0982 7500 0459 48

Rachel Lawrence Mor, Esq. Landmark Towers West, Suite 1000 3555 N.W. 58th Street Oklahoma City, OK 73116

and by first-class U.S. Mail to:

Bryan Neal, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General of the State of Oklahoma 313 NE 21st St Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Stephen L. McCaleb, Esq. Derryberry & Naifeh, LLP

4800 N. Lincoln Blvd Oklahoma City, OK 73105

CELLY REYNOLDS