File #:

Appraisal Work Product Review Report for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

SCOPE OF WORK For Work Product Review USPAP Edition 2020-2021

This work product review is prepared for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board (Board). The documents submitted were analyzed for conformity to the edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in effect as of the date of the appraisal and for the application of currently accepted appraisal methods and techniques. The reviewer is **not required** to develop an independent opinion of value. The data contained in the report being analyzed is subject to verification, to the extent that such verification is pertinent to the completion of the assignment. Unless noted, the subject and comparable sales were not physically inspected. All data pertaining to the subject and comparable sales is considered accurate through the use of an extraordinary assumption, unless otherwise noted. The review is conducted in the context of determining if the appraisal and report exhibit compliance with USPAP and accepted appraisal practice.

Identified in this analysis are the date of valuation, the date of the report, identification of the real property involved, and the signing appraiser(s). The identity of the appraiser(s) is included in the review report as well as the identification (case number), if any, assigned by the Board. The report is prepared in check list format, with comments included in support of the peer reviewer appraiser's analysis and conclusions. Any additional documentation that the reviewer deems necessary to understand the report (i.e.: maps, pictures, sketches, etc), may be included as addenda, and will be referenced within the comment sections of the report. The checklist indicates the specific sections and Standards Rules of USPAP that the appraiser under review has or has not complied with in developing and reporting the appraisal assignment. A "No" response to a requirement of a Standard Rule, is indicative of a violation of USPAP, and requires comment.

Additional Scope of Work:

<u>Appraisal Review Report</u> for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

Standard Review Form – Valuation Appraisals (Standards 1 & 2)

Appraiser(s)		Certification #(s)
Subject Property Address (Stre	et, City, County, State, Zip)	
Effective Date of Report	Date Report Signed	Interest Appraised

General Reporting option used (Appraisal report or Restricted Appraisal report), is prominently stated. SR 2-2 Identity of Client and any intended users are stated. SR 2-2 (a-ii), (b-ii) Intended use of the appraisal is stated. SR 2-2 (a-iii), (b-v) Restricted Appraisal Report – intended users must be identified by name. SR 2-2 (a-ii), (b-vii) Statement of property interest appraised. SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-vii) Type and definition of value and value source are reported. SR 2-2 (a-vi), (b-vii) Report Date and effective date of appraisal are stated (prospective, current or retrospective). SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-x) Scope of Work is sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal. SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x) Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv) Any extraordinary assumption in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 1-5, SR 2-2 (a-xii), (b-xvi) Signed Certification. SR 2-3 SR 2-3 Signed Certification. SR 2-3 SR 2-3 Description of significant real property appraisal assistance is included in the report. SR 2-3 SR 2-3 Certificate number and title are correctly reported. <th>Subject Matter</th> <th>Yes</th> <th>No</th> <th>NA</th> <th>USPAP Reference</th>	Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Restricted Appraisal report), is prominently stated. Image: Construction of the appraisal is stated.	General				
stated.					SR 2-2
Restricted Appraisal Report – intended users must be identified by name. SR 2-2 (b-ii) Statement of property interest appraised. SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-vii) Type and definition of value and value source are reported. SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-viii) Report Date and effective date of appraisal are stated (prospective, current or retrospective). SR 2-2 (a-vi), (b-viii) Scope of Work is sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal. SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-x) Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv) Any extraordinary assumption in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv) Analysis of agreements of sale, options, or listings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales thatoccurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. SR 2-2 (a-xiv), (b-xvi) Signed Certification. SR 2-3 SR 2-3 Certification includes name(s) of preson(s) providing significant real property appraisal assistance. SR 2-3, SR 2-3 SR 2-3, SR 2-3					SR 2-2 (a-ii), (b-ii)
be identified by name. Image: Construction of property interest appraised. Image: Construction of property in	Intended use of the appraisal is stated.				SR 2-2 (a-iii), (b-v)
Type and definition of value and value source are reported. SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-viii) Report Date and effective date of appraisal are stated (prospective, current or retrospective). SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-ix) Scope of Work is sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal. SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x) Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv) Any extraordinary assumption in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv) Analysis of agreements of sale, options, or listings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales thatoccurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. SR 2-2 (a-xii), (b-xvi) Signed Certification. SR 2-2 (a-xii), (b-xvi) SR 2-2 (a-xii), (b-xvi) Description of significant real property appraisal assistance. SR 2-2 (a-xii), (b-xvi) SR 2-2 (a-xi), (b-xvi)		t			SR 2-2 (b-ii)
reported.Image: Constraint of the second	Statement of property interest appraised.				SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-vii)
stated (prospective, current or retrospective).Image: Construction of the sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal.SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construction with each op					SR 2-2 (a-vi), (b-viii)
disclose to the clients the level of work used to Image: Constraint of the appraisal. Image: Constraint of the appraisal. Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years of the appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized. Image: Constraint of the appraisal aprise of the appraisal appraisal aproperty appraisal appr					SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-ix)
each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported. Image: Construction of the second problem of the secon	disclose to the clients the level of work used to				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)
each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.Image: Construct of the second	each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)
listings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized.SR 2-2 (a-x3), (b-xii3)Signed Certification.SR 2-2 (a-xiv), (b-xvi) SR 2-3Certification includes name(s) of person(s) providing significant real property appraisal assistance.SR 2-3Description of significant real property appraisal assistance is included in the report.SR 2-3, SR 2-2, (a-ix), (b-xi)	each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)
Certification includes name(s) of person(s) SR 2-3 providing significant real property appraisal SR 2-3 Description of significant real property appraisal SR 2-3 assistance is included in the report. SR 2-3	listings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately				,
providing significant real property appraisal assistance. Image: Constraint of significant real property appraisal assistance is included in the report. Image: Constraint of significant real property appraisal significant real property approperty approperty appraisal significant real property	Signed Certification.				
assistance is included in the report.	providing significant real property appraisal				SR 2-3
Certificate number and title are correctly reported.					,
	Certificate number and title are correctly reported.				59 O.S. § 858-719(B)

Comments on General Requirements (may be continued on page 3)

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference	
Neighborhood					
Factors that affect marketability are adequately and reasonably described.				SR 1-2(e), SR 1-3 (a), SR 1-4 (f), SR 2-1 (b), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-x)	
Neighborhood boundaries are adequately and reasonably defined.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR-1-2 (h)	
Market area trends are adequately and reasonably discussed and analyzed.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-2 (e)(h)	
USPAP does not say you have to define the neighborhood boundaries - this is a Fannie Mae/HUD/VA requirement on the 104 Form. USPAP says you have to adequately identify the subject's location and economic attributes.					
Site / Highest & Best Use (when value of	opinion d	levelope	d is Mark	(et Value)	
Has the site been adequately identified/defined. Has the zoning been adequately and accurately				SR 1-2(e), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi) SR 1-2 (e-iv)	
reported?				\- /	
Have any easements, restrictions or other items of a similar nature been adequately reported and considered?				SR 1-2(e)-(iv), SR 1-3(a), SR 2-2(a-iv), (b-x)	
Has an opinion to the highest and best use been provided?				SR 1-3(b), SR 2-2(a-xii)	
Has current use been stated?				SR 2-2 (a-xi), (b-xiii)	
Comments on Site / Highest & Best Use (include Note: only checking the H&BU box on 1004 form does				st and Best Use was identified.	

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Description of Improvements				
Have relevant characteristics of improvements and any effect they have on value been				SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi)
adequately described? Have any relevant conditions or depreciation (physical, functional or external) factors that affect the improvements been reported and analyzed?				SR 1-4(b-iii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)
Has any personal property, trade fixtures or intangible items that are not real property, but included in the appraisal been adequately described and considered in the valuation process.				SR 1-2(e-iii), SR 2-1(a,b)
Comments on Improvements				
Cost Approach				
Is the site value developed by an appropriate appraisal method or technique?				SR 1-4(b-i) SR 2-2(a-b1), (b-xii1)
Are cost estimates analyzed and supported?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 1-4(b-ii)
Has the appraiser identified and correctly analyzed depreciation items (physical, functional, external)?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 1-4(b-iii)
Are calculations correct?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 1-4(b)
Exclusion of the Cost Approach is supported.				SR 1-2(h), SR 2-2 (a-x2), (b-xii2)
Comments on Cost Approach If the site is market oriented, there needs to be a short summary of the sale local builders" is not sufficient. If the appraiser mentions local builders, ther Depreciation should make sense - does the effective age and condition of t property that is stated to be in average or good condition? Either the physic	re should be a co the property carry	st analysis from to over to the cost	the builder. approach. For ir	nstance is the depreciation too excessive for a

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Sales Comparison Approach				
Has appraiser analyzed comparable sales data and used appropriate appraisal methods and techniques that support the conclusions?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (a), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and reported comparable sales.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (a), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Is adequate reasoning provided for adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (b), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x1), (b-x), (b-xii1)
Exclusion of the Sales Comparison Approach is supported.				SR 1-2 (h), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2), (b-x), (b-xii2)
Comments on Sales Comparison Approach Does the appraiser adequately explain the adjustments rather than a "blar	ıket" statement a	s to the adjustme	ints?	

Income Approach		
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and reported comparable rental data?		SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for income, expenses, vacancy been provided?		SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c-ii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for capitalization rate or GRM been provided?		SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c-iii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Have projections of future rent and expenses been based on reasonably clear and appropriate market evidence?		SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c-iv), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?		SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-viii), (b-x)
Exclusion of the Income Approach is supported.		SR 1-2 (h), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2), (b-x), (b-xii2)

Comments on Income Approach

The statement "The income approach is not used due to a lack of rental properties" is not sufficient. If the approach is not used, then the appraiser should have a statement in the scope of the work that the development of the approach was not necessary in order to arrive at a credible market value. This is just an example.

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Final Reconciliation				
Quality and quantity of data available and analyzed within the approaches used has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Applicability and suitability of the approaches used to arrive at the value conclusions has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the value been appropriately identified (date, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions)?				SR 1-2(f,g) SR 2-2 (a-vii), (a-xiii), (b-ix), (b-xv)
Comments on Final Reconciliation				
General Revisited				
Are the appraisal results conveyed in an appropriate manner (not misleading)?				Standard 2 Ethics Rule
Does the appraiser(s) understand the appraisal process?				Competency Rule
Does the appraisal report contain sufficient information to enable the client(s) and intended user(s) who receive or rely on the report to understand it properly?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 2-2(a,b,c) Scope to Work Rule Competency Rule
Was the salient and factual data reported and analyzed in a consistent manner throughout the assignment?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Final Comments on Appraisal				

SCORE EACH CRITERION (1-5)	RATING
Appraisal Practices and Procedures	
Logic and Reasoning	
Comparables	
Adjustments	
TOTAL REPORT SCORE	

Appraiser Certification

The attached work product review contains the comments and conclusions of the reviewer. I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The facts and data reported by the reviewer and used in the review process are true and correct.
- The analyses, opinions and conclusions in the review report are limited only by the assumptions and limiting conditions stated in this review report, and are my personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- I have no (or the specified) present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this review and I have no (or the specified) personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of the work under review or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from the analysis, opinions or conclusions, or use of this review report.
- I have not made a personal inspection of the subject property of the work under review.
- I have performed no (or the specified) services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of the work under review within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment

Signature:	Date:
Peer Reviewer:	License: