File #:

Appraisal Work Product Review Report for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

SCOPE OF WORK For Work Product Review USPAP Edition 2020-2021

This real property appraisal review is prepared for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board (Board). The Board and appraiser(s) under review are considered the intended users; with the Board being considered the primary intended user. The intended use of this review by the Board is for its information in the consideration of an application for licensure (or licensure upgrade). Additionally, this report could be forwarded to the Standards and Disciplinary Procedures Committee of the Board for further action if warranted.

The scope of work includes, but is not limited to, a desk review of the appraisal report and any work file documents submitted. *If the scope of work entails something greater than a desk review, more details as to the scope of work is listed below.* The documents submitted were reviewed for conformity to the edition of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) in effect as of the date of the appraisal and for the application of currently accepted appraisal methods and techniques. The reviewer is **not required** to develop an independent opinion of value. The data contained in the report under review is subject to verification, to the extent that such verification is pertinent to the completion of the review assignment. Unless noted, the subject and comparable sales were not physically inspected. All data pertaining to the subject and comparable sales is considered accurate through the use of an extraordinary assumption, unless otherwise noted. The review is conducted in the context of determining if the appraisal and report exhibit compliance with USPAP and accepted appraisal practice.

Identified in the review report are the date of valuation, the date of the report, identification of the real property involved, and the signing appraiser(s). The identity of the appraiser(s) is included in the review report as well as the identification (case number), if any, assigned by the Board. The report is prepared in check list and summary format, with comments included in support of the peer reviewer appraiser's analysis and conclusions. Any additional documentation that the review appraiser deems necessary to understand the review (i.e.: maps, pictures, sketches, etc), may be included as addenda, and will be referenced within the comment sections of the report. The checklist indicates the specific sections and Standards Rules of USPAP that the appraiser under review has or has not complied with in developing and reporting the appraisal assignment. A "No" response to a requirement of a Standard Rule, is indicative of a violation of USPAP, and requires comment.

Additional Scope of Work:

Appraisal Review Report for the Oklahoma Real Estate Appraiser Board

Standard Review Form – Valuation Appraisals (Standards 1 & 2)

Appraiser(s)		Certification #(s)
Subject Property Address (Street, City, County, State, Zip)		
Effective Date of Report	Date Report Signed	Interest Appraised

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference	
General					
Reporting option used (Appraisal report or Restricted Appraisal report), is prominently stated.				SR 2-2	
Identity of Client and any intended users are stated.				SR 2-2 (a-ii), (b-ii)	
Intended use of the appraisal is stated.				SR 2-2 (a-iii), (b-v)	
Statement of property interest appraised.				SR 2-2 (a-v), (b-vii)	
Type and definition of value and value source are reported.				SR 2-2 (a-vi), (b-viii)	
Report Date and effective date of appraisal are stated (prospective, current or retrospective).				SR 2-2 (a-vii), (b-ix)	
Scope of Work is sufficiently summarized to disclose to the clients the level of work used to develop the appraisal.				SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)	
Any hypothetical conditions in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)	
Any extraordinary assumption in conjunction with each opinion or conclusion affected are clearly and conspicuously reported.				SR 2-2 (a-xiii), (b-xv)	
Analysis of agreements of sale, options, or listings of subject property current as of the effective date of appraisal and sales that occurred within three years prior have been adequately summarized.				SR 1-5, SR 2-2 (a-x3), (b-xii3)	
Signed Certification.				SR 2-2 (a-xiv), (b-xvi) SR 2-3	
Certification includes name(s) of person(s) providing significant real property appraisal assistance.				SR 2-3	
Description of significant real property appraisal assistance is included in the report.				SR 2-3, SR 2-2 (a-ix), (b-xi)	
Certificate number and title are correctly reported.				59 O.S. § 858-719(B)	
Comments on Conoral Paguiraments (may be continued on page 3)					

Comments on General Requirements (may be continued on page 3)

Comments on General	Requirements	(continued)
---------------------	--------------	-------------

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Neighborhood				
Factors that affect marketability are adequately and reasonably described.				SR 1-2(e), SR 1-3 (a), SR 1-4 (f), SR 2-1 (b), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-x)
Neighborhood boundaries are adequately and reasonably defined.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR-1-2 (h)
Market area trends are adequately and reasonably discussed and analyzed.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-2 (e)(h)
Teasonably discussed and analyzed.				
Site / Highest & Best Use (when value of	opinion c	levelope	d is Mark	(et Value)
Has the site been adequately identified/defined.				SR 1-2(e), SR 2-2 (a-iv) (b-vi)

Site / Highest & Best Use (when value opinion developed is Market Value)				
Has the site been adequately identified/defined.				SR 1-2(e), SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi)
Has the zoning been adequately and accurately reported?				SR 1-2 (e-iv)
Have any easements, restrictions or other items of a similar nature been adequately reported and considered?				SR 1-2(e)-(iv), SR 1-3(a), SR 2-2(a-iv), (b-x)
Has an opinion to the highest and best use been provided?				SR 1-3(b), SR 2-2(a-xii)
Has current use been stated?				SR 2-2 (a-xi), (b-xiii)
Comments on Site / Highest & Best Use (include a short summary of how the Highest and Best Use was identified)				

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Description of Improvements				
Have relevant characteristics of improvements and any effect they have on value been adequately described?				SR 2-2 (a-iv), (b-vi)
Have any relevant conditions or depreciation (physical, functional or external) factors that affect the improvements been reported and analyzed?				SR 1-4(b-iii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-x)
Has any personal property, trade fixtures or intangible items that are not real property, but included in the appraisal been adequately described and considered in the valuation process.				SR 1-2(e-iii), SR 2-1(a,b)
Comments on Improvements				
Cost Approach	ï	ï	ï	1
ls the site value å^ç^∥[]^å/sā^Ása),Ása), []¦ãaae^ æ}]¦æaaræk/{(,^c@(,å/{(,Áe^&@),ã `^?				SR 1-4(b-i) SR 2-2(a-b1), (b-xii1)
Are cost estimates a) a f: ^å and supported?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 1-4(b-ii)
Has the appraiser identified and correctly analyzed depreciation items (physical, functional, external)?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 1-4(b-iii)
Are calculations correct?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c) SR 1-4(b)
Exclusion of the Cost Approach is supported.				SR 1-2(h), SR 2-2 (a-x2), (b-xii2)
Commonto en Cost Annyosch				
Comments on Cost Approach If the site is market oriented, there needs to be a short summary of the sale here here independent of the second se				ned in the report. Example - "Discussion with
local builders' is not sufficient. If the appraiser mentions local builders, then Depreciation should make sense - does the effective age and condition of property that is stated to be in average or good condition? Either the physis	the property carry	over to the cost	approach. For in	
		s mong of the co		

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Sales Comparison Approach				
Has appraiser analyzed comparable sales data and used appropriate appraisal methods and techniques that support the conclusions?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (a), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and reported comparable sales.				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (a), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Is adequate reasoning provided for adjustments, analysis, opinions and conclusions?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (b), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x1), (b-x), (b-xii1)
Exclusion of the Sales Comparison Approach is supported.				SR 1-2 (h), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2), (b-x), (b-xii2)
Comments on Sales Comparison Approach Does the appraiser adequately explain the adjustments rather than a "blar	nket" statement a	s to the adjustme	nts?	
Income Approach				
Has appraiser adequately collected, verified, and reported comparable rental data?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for income, expenses,				SR 1-1 (a,b,c),

vacancy been provided?			SR 1-4 (c-ii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has reasonable support for capitalization rate or GRM been provided?			SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c-iii), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Have projections of future rent and expenses been based on reasonably clear and appropriate market evidence?			SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c-iv), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the appraiser correctly employed recognized methods and techniques?			SR 1-1 (a,b,c), SR 1-4 (c), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (b-viii), (b-x)
Exclusion of the Income Approach is supported.			SR 1-2 (h), SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x2), (b-x), (b-xii2)
Comments on Income Approach	 7	о	а

The statement "The income approach is not used due to a lack of rental properties" is not sufficient. If the approach is not used, then the appraiser should have a statement in the scope of the work that the development of the approach was not necessary in order to arrive at a credible market value. This is just an example.

Subject Matter	Yes	No	NA	USPAP Reference
Final Reconciliation				
Quality and quantity of data available and analyzed within the approaches used has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Applicability and suitability of the approaches used to arrive at the value conclusions has been adequately reconciled.				SR 1-6 (a,b) SR 2-2 (a-viii), (a-x5), (b-x)
Has the value been appropriately identified (date, extraordinary assumptions, hypothetical conditions)?				SR 1-2(f,g) SR 2-2 (a-vii), (a-xiii), (b-ix), (b-xv)
Comments on Final Reconciliation				
General Revisited				
Are the appraisal results conveyed in an appropriate manner (not misleading)?				Standard 2 Ethics Rule
Does the appraiser(s) understand the appraisal process?				Competency Rule
Does the appraisal report contain sufficient information to enable the client(s) and intended user(s) who receive or rely on the report to understand it properly?				SR 1-1(a,b,c) SR 2-2(a,b,c) Scope to Work Rule Competency Rule
Was the salient and factual data reported and analyzed in a consistent manner throughout the assignment?				SR 1-1 (a,b,c)
Final Comments on Appraisal				

SCORE EACH CRITERION (1-5)	RATING
Appraisal Practices and Procedures	
Logic and Reasoning	
Comparables	
Adjustments	
TOTAL REPORT SCORE	

Review Appraiser Certification

The attached review contains the comments and conclusions of the reviewer. I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The facts and data reported by the reviewer and used in the review process are true and correct.
- The analyses, opinions and conclusions in the review report are limited only by the assumptions and limiting conditions stated in this review report, and are my personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.
- I have no (or the specified) present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this review and I have no (or the specified) personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of the work under review or to the parties involved with this assignment.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation is not contingent on an action or event resulting from the analysis, opinions or conclusions, or use of this review report.
- My analyses, opinions and conclusions were developed and the review report prepared in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- I have not made a personal inspection of the subject property of the work under review. (If more that one person signs this certification, the certification must clearly specify which individuals did and which individuals did not make a personal inspection, if any of the subject property of the work under review.)
- No one provided significant appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assistance to the person signing this certification. (If there are exceptions, the name of each individual(s) providing appraisal, appraisal review, or appraisal consulting assistance must be stated).
- I have performed no (or the specified) services, as an appraisor or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of the work under review within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment

Additional Certification as required by professional organizations or designations:

I have performed no (or the specified) services, as an appraiser or in any other capacity, regarding the property that is the subject of the work under review within the three-year period immediately preceding acceptance of this assignment.

Signature:	Date:
Peer Reviewer:	License: