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Fiduciary Perception

This "fiduciary" thing really complicates pillaging a corporation.

※ UBS
Fiduciary Reality

A Historical Perspective

Origin: Latin fidūciārius: of something held in trust

Law #265: "If a herdsman, to whose care cattle or sheep have been entrusted, be guilty of fraud and make false returns of the natural increase, or sell them for money, then shall he be convicted and pay the owner ten times the loss."

_Babylon and the Code of Hammurabi (1790 BC)_

“In acting on behalf of others, have I always been loyal to their interests?”

_Confucius (551-479 BC)_

“No man can serve two masters”

_Matthew 6:24_

Someone who is managing the assets of another person or a company and stands in a position of trust, confidence, or legal responsibility.
Why: Fiduciary Best Practices?

• Enterprise Risk Management

• Fulfillment of Responsibilities

• Increased Efficiency and Effectiveness

• Reputation

Transition from liability to opportunity
What: **Fiduciary Best Practices?**

- *Definable*
- *Defendable*
- *Documented*
The **Standard Bearers**

- **Center for Fiduciary Studies**
  - Fi360
  - Accredited Investment Fiduciary

- **CEFEX**
  - Independent global certification
# Fiduciary Best Practices

## Step 1: Organize

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice S-1.1</th>
<th>Investments are managed in accordance with applicable laws, trust documents, and written investment policy statements (IPS).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-1.2</td>
<td>The roles and responsibilities of all involved parties (fiduciaries and non-fiduciaries) are defined, documented, and acknowledged.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-1.3</td>
<td>Fiduciaries and parties in interest are not involved in self-dealing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-1.4</td>
<td>Service agreements and contracts are in writing, and do not contain provisions that conflict with fiduciary standards of care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-1.5</td>
<td>Assets are within the jurisdiction of appropriate courts, and are protected from theft and embezzlement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Implementing Step 1: Organize

- Assess current situation, goals, objectives, and restrictions
- Review relevant statutes, laws, and regulations
- Determine operational funding (day to day needs, reinsurance premiums etc.)
- Determine liability funding (claim payments)
- Gather documents, including statements, and agreements
## Fiduciary Best Practices

### Step 2: Formalize

- **Practice S-2.1** An investment time horizon has been identified.
- **Practice S-2.2** A risk level has been identified.
- **Practice S-2.3** An expected, modeled return to meet investment objectives has been identified.
- **Practice S-2.4** Selected asset classes are consistent with the identified risk, return, and time horizon.
- **Practice S-2.5** Selected asset classes are consistent with implementation and monitoring constraints.
- **Practice S-2.6** There is an IPS which contains the detail to define, implement, and manage a specific investment strategy.
- **Practice S-2.7** The IPS defines appropriately structured, socially responsible investment (SRI) strategies (where applicable).

### Implementing Step 2: Formalize

- Target time horizon for cash flow needs
- Document risk tolerance and investment restrictions
- Model asset allocation scenarios
- Select indices and benchmarks
- Construct investment decision process
- Define roles and responsibilities of investment committee, custodian, investment managers, and consultants
- Draft investment policy statement (IPS)
## Fiduciary Best Practices

### Step 3: Implement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice S-3.1</th>
<th>The investment strategy is implemented in compliance with the required level of prudence.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-3.2</td>
<td>Applicable safe harbor provisions are followed (when elected).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-3.3</td>
<td>Investment vehicles are appropriate for the portfolio size.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-3.4</td>
<td>A due diligence process is followed in selecting service providers, including the custodian.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Completing Step 3: Implement

- Implement investment manager hiring protocol
- Screen potential candidates using qualitative criteria
- Screen potential candidates using quantitative criteria
- Retain best candidates
"Not everything that can be counted counts, and not everything that counts can be counted."

-- Albert Einstein
Past Performance is a Poor Predictor of Future Success

The chart illustrates findings from a study of the Large Cap Value Universe of domestic managers with a performance record of at least 10 years. The left column shows five-year quartile return rankings ending March 2004. The right shows how the top-performing managers ranked against their peer group over the following five years (ending March 2009).

Source: PSN Enterprise, 2009
UBS Institutional Consulting

Qualitative Investment Criteria

**Factors Considered**
- Structure/Ownership
- Age
- AUM/Growth
- Account Minimums
- Regulatory Issues
- Registration

**Staff**
- Number of Professionals
- Tenure/Turnover
- Credentials/Experience
- Compensation Structure
- Support Staff

**Philosophy & Strategy**
- Asset Classes/Products
- Stated Strategy/Styles
- Resources
- Changes
- Intangibles

**Process**
- Research
- Implementation
- Responsibilities
- Consistency
- Risk management

**Administration**
- Trading
- Service
- Back-office
**Performance**
- Rolling Time Periods: 1, 3, 5, & 10 years
- Absolute and Relative
- Vs. Primary Style Index, Market Index
- Vs. Russell Universe/Peers
- Risk-adjusted/After-Tax Results
- Actual vs. Stated Results

**Portfolio Statistics**
- Portfolio Construction
- Number of Holdings, Turnover
- Security, Sector Weightings
- P/E’s, Yields, P/B, Capitalization, Maturity, Issuer, Quality

**Risk Measurement**
- Account Return Dispersion
- Standard Deviation
- Sharpe, Information and Capture Ratios
- R², Alpha, Beta
- Tracking Error

**Return-Based Attribution**
- "Backwards Looking" Method of Estimating a Manager’s Style Using the Manager’s Performance History
- Style Verification, Style Change Monitoring
- Return Regression Analysis for Best-Fit Asset Class and Style Indices

**Security Level Attribution**
- Systematic Method of Confirming Style and Process Success
- Examination of Actual Portfolio Holdings
- Style Verification, Implementation Ability
- Security selection, sector selection, portfolio construction
## Step 4: Monitor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Practice S-4.1</th>
<th>Periodic reports compare investment performance against appropriate index, peer group, and IPS objectives.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-4.2</td>
<td>Periodic reviews are made of qualitative and/or organizational changes of investment decision-makers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-4.3</td>
<td>Control procedures are in place to periodically review policies for best execution, “soft dollars,” and proxy voting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-4.4</td>
<td>Fees for investment management are consistent with agreements and with all applicable laws.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-4.5</td>
<td>“Finder’s fees” or other forms of compensation that may have been paid for asset placement are appropriately applied, utilized, and documented.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practice S-4.6</td>
<td>There is a process to periodically review the organization’s effectiveness in meeting its fiduciary responsibilities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Implementing Step 4: Monitor

- Quarterly reports to the investment committee
- Compare portfolio against IPS constraints, goals, and benchmarks
- Monitor risk levels and asset allocation against IPS
- Ongoing due diligence of investment managers
- Utilize Manager Watchlist
- Terminate and replace underperforming/compromised managers
- Conduct full program reviews every three to five years
Call to Action

✓ Review current process

✓ Identify weakness

✓ Develop a strategy to address those weakness

✓ Revitalize your investment plan
Fiduciary Not-so-Best Practices

Lack of Process and Oversight
- 8,593 trades in 18 month period
- 23 per trading day
- 5,700 annualized
- 50% in gold/mining stocks
- 1200% portfolio turnover
- $2,300,000 in commissions
- Subpar investment performance

Unintended Consequences
- Fined $1,260,000
- CEO resigned
- Stock price dropped 10%
- Lost $23,500,000 in market cap.

Florida Fines Universal P&C Co. $1.26M

October 9, 2013

Florida's second largest property insurer is paying a fine of $1.26 million.

Florida Insurance Commissioner Kevin McCarty announced Monday that Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Co. has agreed to pay the fine and change its business practices.

Universal has roughly 542,000 policyholders statewide and collects more than $765 million a year in premiums. Only Citizens Property Insurance Corp. has more customers.

State regulators earlier this year determined that Universal had unnecessary delays in paying claims. The company was also unable to prove that it mailed out cancellation notices or notices of non-renewal in a timely manner.

Universal initially challenged the proposed fine, but company officials signed a consent order with regulators last Friday.

That order requires the company to review nearly 300 claims it had previously denied.
UBS Services for Insurance Companies

A Breadth of Products Designed for Results, Administrative Efficiency, Safety, and Oversight
UBS presents The Calusa Group

Insurance Consulting Specialists

Over the past ten years the Calusa Group has developed a world class understanding of the unique needs and goals of small to medium insurance based institutions. We have worked hand in hand with our clients to design innovative processes and products. Backed by the resources of the world’s strongest bank, the Calusa Group is able to provide our clients with services and peace of mind so they are able to focus on running their business knowing that their assets are being managed appropriately to their needs.

The Calusa Group is a member of the UBS Institutional Consulting Group. The UBS Institutional Consulting Group is a select collection of 85 teams throughout the US that are dedicated to delivering world class independent advice to businesses, governments, not for profits, religious organizations, and retirement plans. UBS Institutional Consulting Group oversees nearly 70 billion dollars of assets for approximately 1,000 clients.
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